## A preliminary comparison of five assays for detecting past exposure to Coxiella burnetii for use prior to human Q Fever vaccination

Graves S<sup>1</sup>, Ross P<sup>2</sup>, Nguyen C<sup>1</sup>, Jeppesen M<sup>2</sup>, Stenos J<sup>1</sup>, & Robson J<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Australian Rickettsial Reference Laboratory (ARRL), Geelong & Newcastle, Australia, <sup>2</sup> Sullivan Nicolaides Pathology (SNP), Brisbane, Queensland, Australia



## Background

Vaccination against Q Fever (Coxiella burnetii infection) is performed in Australia using Q-Vax, a formalin- killed whole cell vaccine.

Adverse reactions occur in those persons with prior exposure to C. burnetii. To prevent this adverse reaction pre-vaccination screening is performed and persons found to be positive are excluded from vaccination.

Currently a skin test (to detect T-cell immunity) and an antibody assay (to detect B-cell immunity) are undertaken on each person prior to vaccination.

However the assay that "best" correlates with prior exposer to C. burnetii and thus adverse reactions to the vaccine is not known.

A new Interferon-Gamma Release Assay (IGRA), Q-Detect, has recently become available. It measures the specific T-cell reaction on in-vitro stimulation of whole blood with

A small (n=25) group of attendees from Australia, Europe and America at an Australian scientific conference were offered Q-Vax vaccination. As part of their pre-vaccination testing, five different assays were performed on them to detect their possible exposure to C. burnetii. These assays were:

**Methods** 

1. Intradermal Skin Test

- 2. Serology by Immunofluorescence assay (IFA)
- Lab A (Australian Rickettsial Reference Laboratory, ARRL)
- Lab B (Sullivan Nicolaides Pathology, SNP)
- 3. Serology by Enzyme Immunoassay (EIA)
- 4. Serology by Complement Fixation Test (CFT)
- 5. Interferon-Gamma Release Assay (IGRA), Q-Detect

1	Q-detect"	FEF ····	30006	territori della de	ra.tt.	
	Restantia provided rotatia optical presi, la estato estato response respons	Guantity 1 + 40% 2 + 20% 2 + 26% 1 + 27% 1 + 27% 2 + 27%2 + 27%2 + 27% 2 + 27%2 + 27% 2 + 27%2 + 27%2 + 27% 2 + 27%2 + 27%2 + 27% 2 + 27%2 + 27%2 + 27%2 + 27%2 + 27%2 + 27%2 + 27%2 + 27%2 + 27%2 + 2	Binn al 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 201	0000000	100 100	
		_				1

coxiella antigen.

The main objectives of this study were:

1. To compare the sensitivity and specificity of this new assay (IGRA) with intradermal skin test, IFA (Lab A & B), EIA (Lab B) and CFT (Lab B), and

2. To provide QF vaccination of the volunteers found to be negative to these assays.



## Results

Table 1: Comparative results of Intradermal skin test, Serological assays (IFA, EIA, and CFT) and Interferon gamma release assay (IGRA, Q-Detect) in persons with (A) and without (B) known exposure to *Coxiella burnetii*.

Volunteers		Intradermal Skin test		IGRA				
			IFA		EIA	CFT	(Q-Detect)	
ID Number	Country		Lab A	Lab B				
A. Volunteers with known <i>Coxiella burnetii</i> (Q Fever) exposure (vaccination or infection) (n=8)								
1	USA	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative	Positive	
8	Australia	Negative	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Borderline	
16	Australia	Negative	Positive	Negative	Negative	Negative	Borderline	
17	Australia	Negative	Positive	Negative	Negative	Negative	Borderline	
19	USA	Negative	Negative	Positive	Negative	Negative	Borderline	
21	Australia	Positive	Negative	Positive	Negative	Negative	Positive	
23	Australia	Positive	Negative	Positive	Negative	Negative	Borderline	
11	Switzerland	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Strong Positive	
	Total	3/8	4/8	5/8	2/8	2/8	8/8	
B. Volunteers without Q Fever vaccination or unknown exposure <i>to Coxiella burnetii</i> (n=17)								
2	USA	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative	
3	Netherlands	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative	
4	Australia	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative	
5	Belgium	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative	
6	Australia	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative	Borderline	
7	USA	Positive	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative	
9	Germany	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative	Borderline	
10	Mexico	Positive	Negative	Negative	Negative	Positive	Negative	
12	Australia	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative	
13	France	Positive	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative	
14	USA	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative	
15	Australia	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative	
18	Australia	Positive	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative	
20	Germany	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative	
22	Australia	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative	Borderline	
24	USA	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative	
25	USA	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative	
Total		4/17	0/17	0/17	0/17	1/17	3/17	

Table 2: Comparative sensitivity and specificity of the intradermal skin test, serological assays (IFA, EIA, and CFT) and IGRA (Q-Detect) in detecting persons with prior exposure to Coxiella burnetii.

Assays	Sensitivity	Specificity	Comment
Intradermal Skin Test	37%	76%	Poor assay
Serology IFA	62%	100%	Poor assay
Serology EIA	25%	100%	Poor assay
Serology CFT	12%	100%	Poor assay
IGRA (Q-Detect)	100%	82%	Good assay

Of the 25 participants in the study, 7 had known prior exposure to C. burnetii due to vaccination against Q Fever and 1 had prior Q Fever infection. The remaining 17 persons had no known prior exposure to *C. burnetii*.

The results from 5 assays were compared in the 2 groups and presented in Table 1. The sensitivity and specificity of these assays are summarised in Table 2. The new IGRA/Q-Detect was found to be superior to the 4 currently used assays.



Volunteers negative to screening assays were vaccinated with the Australian Q Fever vaccine (Q-Vax).

## Acknowledgement

The intradermal skin test and serology correlated poorly with known prior exposure to C. burnetii.

Conclusions

The new Interferon-Gamma Release Assay (IGRA), Q-Detect, correlates well with known prior exposure to C. burnetii (vaccination or likely infection). Sensitivity (100%) and specificity (82%). This assay shows promise but larger study is needed.

The authors thank volunteers from the 9th Tick and Tick borne pathogen and 1st Asia Pacific Rickettsia conference in Cairns, Australia in 2017 for their involvement in the study. Special thanks to Dr Aminul Islam and Dr Lidia Chitimia-Dobler for participation in the repeat assay.

The authors report no conflict of interest.





